

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/754,730	01/12/2004	Tien Lei	ВНТ-3232-7	1357
7590 11/30/2004			EXAMINER	
TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC SUITE 1404			JOHNSON, EDWARD M	
5205 LEESBURG PIKE FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Country	10/754,730	LEI, TIEN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Edward M. Johnson	1754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reeply within the statutory minimum of thirty did will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	January 2004				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
 Since this application is in condition for allow 	ance except for formal matte	ers. prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	_				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awii iroiti consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	~ '				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement				
Application Papers	,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin-	er.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cuon is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	kammer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)∐ Some * c)∐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
opies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	ceived.			
ttachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [] Interview 0				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 048)	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) L Notice of Inform	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/754,730

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gabriel US 6,361,706 in view of Stearns et al. US 6,277,347.

Regarding claims 1, 7, and 13, Gabriel '706 discloses a method for reducing PFC gas in exhaust comprising channeling into a plasma device, introducing a gas containing water vapor to produce HF as a water abatement reaction product (see abstract and column 5, lines 1-12), and scrubbing (see Figure).

Gabriel fails to disclose removal of fine solid molecules.

Art Unit: 1754

Stearns '347 discloses filtering (see column 7, lines 38-42 and column 10, lines 17-18).

It is considered that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the filtering of Stearns in the PFC reduction process of Gabriel because Stearns discloses the filtering in a PFC process (see abstract) that may reduce the number of components required in the substrate processing system (see column 10, lines 10-16).

Regarding claims 2-3, 6, 8-9, 12, and 14-16, Gabriel '706 discloses instant plasma tank injection and evaporation (see column 5, lines 15-38), which would obviously, to one of ordinary skill, cause at least some cooling.

Regarding claims 4-5 and 10-11, Stearns '347 discloses further adding air (see column 6, lines 53-55) and a plurality of valves (see Figures and column 5, lines 4-24).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kesari et al. US 6,540,930 discloses a method of reducing perfluoroketones using a vapor reactor comprising treatment with plasma and water vapor (see abstract and Examples); Cheung et al. US 6,187,072 discloses a method for reducing perfluorocompound gases

Art Unit: 1754

comprising treatment with plasma and water, and a particle trapping and collection system (see abstract and Examples).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EMAN.